

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2-----x  
3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

3 18 CR 204 (NGG)

4 versus

5 KEITH RANIERE, et al.,

United States Courthouse  
Brooklyn, New York  
April 4, 2019  
11:00 a. m.

6 Defendants.  
7-----x

8 TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  
9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS GARAUFIS  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11 APPEARANCES

12 For the Government: RICHARD DONOGHUE  
13 United States Attorney  
14 Eastern District of New York  
15 271 Cadman Plaza East  
16 Brooklyn, New York 11201  
17 BY: MOIRA KIM PENZA, AUSA  
18 TANYA HAJJAR, AUSA  
19 MARK LESKO, AUSA

20 For K. Raniere: BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES  
21 767 Third Avenue, 26th Floor  
22 New York, New York 10017  
23 BY: MARC AGNIFILO, ESQ.  
24 TENY GERAGOS, ESQ.

25 DEROHANNESIAN & DEROHANNESIAN  
677 Broadway, Suite 707  
Albany, New York 12207  
BY: PAUL DEROHANNESIAN, II, ESQ.  
DANIELLE SMITH, ESQ.

For C. Bronfman: GERAGOS & GERAGOS  
Historic Engine Company No. 28  
644 South Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
BY: MARK GERAGOS, ESQ.

1 CONTINUED APPEARANCES

2 For C. Bronfman: HAFETZ & NECHELES, LLP  
3 10 East 40th Street, 48th Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
BY: KATHLEEN CASSIDY, ESQ.

4 For A. Mack: KOBRE & KIM, LLP  
5 800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
BY: SEAN BUCKLEY, ESQ.

7 For K. Russell: SHER TREMONTE, LLP  
90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor  
8 New York, New York 10004  
BY: JUSTINE HARRIS, ESQ.  
AMANDA RAVICH, ESQ.

21 Reported by:

22 LISA SCHMID, CCR, RMR  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
23 225 Cadman Plaza East, Room N377  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript  
25 produced by computer-aided transcription.

1 THE COURT: All right. Everybody be seated, please.

2 Appearances?

3 MS. PENZA: Moira Penza, Tanya Hajjar and Mark Lesko  
4 for the United States. Good morning, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Good morning.

6 Let's wait for the defendant.

7 MR. AGNIFILO: Thank you, Judge.

8 (Pause in proceedings.)

9 (Defendant Raniere enters.)

10 MR. AGNIFILO: All right. Good morning, Your Honor.  
11 Marc Agnifilo, Teny Geragos, Paul DerOhannesian and Danielle  
12 Smith for Keith Raniere, who is present in court.

13 THE COURT: Good morning.

14 MR. GERAGOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark  
15 Geragos, G-E-R-A-G-O-S, and Kate Cassidy for Clare Bronfman,  
16 who is present.

17 MS. GROSSHANS: Good morning.

18 THE COURT: Good morning.

19 MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Sean  
20 Buckley for Allison Mack, who is standing to my left.

21 THE COURT: Good morning.

22 MS. HARRIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Justine  
23 Harris and Amanda Ravich for Kathy Russell.

24 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.

25 We have potential jurors coming in next Monday

1 afternoon and next Tuesday afternoon. The questionnaires are  
2 being printed, and the proceedings will be in the ceremonial  
3 courtroom, the Jack B. Weinstein Ceremonial Courtroom on the  
4 second floor of this courthouse.

5 And the -- we'll have two attorneys per defendant --  
6 of the two attorneys per defendant -- sitting at counsel's  
7 table, and everyone will be introduced. We're going to place  
8 card this event, so that everyone knows where to sit. So  
9 there's no question.

10 And we need a place for the marshals in connection  
11 with Mr. Raniere. We'll work that out with the marshals.

12 MR. AGNIFILO: Yes, Judge.

13 THE COURT: All right. And you can check with  
14 Mr. Reccoppa about how we normally do this in these  
15 questionnaire cases, of which we have had many.

16 There's an issue regarding a motion for an anonymous  
17 jury. Who would like to speak to that?

18 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: I think it's consented to, but I want to  
20 hear about it.

21 MS. PENZA: So not quite an anonymous jury, Your  
22 Honor. The Government is requesting that the jury, not that  
23 the names be withheld from the press and spoken publicly until  
24 after trial, which was done in the Shkreli case and which we  
25 think is appropriate here, and so do the defendants.

1                   MR. AGNIFILO: We did do it in the Shkreli case. It  
2 worked fine. And so we consent. We agree to that, if the  
3 Court's comfortable.

4                   THE COURT: Well, I think it would have to be made  
5 clear to potential jurors that their anonymity would remain  
6 until after the trial ends and that -- and then be made --  
7 potentially be made available to anyone who asks for it.

8                   Is that what you're driving at here?

9                   MS. PENZA: That is the Government's position. Yes,  
10 Your Honor.

11                  THE COURT: That's your position, as well?

12                  MR. AGNIFILO: I'm sorry. One second.

13                  (Consults with Ms. Geragos.)

14                  If I remember with Mr. Shkreli, it's Court's  
15 decision after the trial. I don't know that it's  
16 automatically -- that the names are unsealed or whatever the  
17 term would be -- automatically. It think it's a decision  
18 at -- at least we did with Judge Matsumoto.

19                  THE COURT: Well, I will tell you that my preference  
20 would be to allow members of the jury to speak out if they  
21 want, but I don't think that the Court ought to be in a  
22 position to make that judgment for a juror. The juror should  
23 make that judgment for him or herself.

24                  I would always recommend to the jurors that at the  
25 end of the trial, whatever their verdict is, that they leave

1 the courthouse and they forget about what happened and go back  
2 to, you know, their normal lives and not be subject to press  
3 and other interference, if you will, with their normal life  
4 activities. And so that's just my view and that's what I'll  
5 tell any juror who is in a situation like that.

6 So, if I grant an anonymous jury, it will be  
7 anonymous, and it's -- the names of the jurors will not be  
8 provided by the Court to anyone, whether before, during or  
9 after the trial. And if jurors want to, you know, speak to  
10 the press or anybody else, they can do so. So I'm going to  
11 grant the motion for an anonymous jury. That's number one.

12 And ironically, these anonymous juries sometimes are  
13 granted in cases where there is -- there might be some danger  
14 to jurors from -- in some way. I'm not sure what it is,  
15 but -- as well as the possibility of the press and other  
16 interested individuals may try to access or approach jurors.

17 I think that this is the perfect -- this case is the  
18 perfect example where there's -- it would appear there's no  
19 actual danger to the jurors, but the idea that a juror, an  
20 anonymous juror leaving the courthouse might be stopped by an  
21 interested person or by the media, I think that's always a  
22 possibility, within the rights of people expressing their  
23 First Amendment rights.

24 But I also believe that this should be a  
25 semi-sequestered jury, in order to protect the jurors from

1 unwanted interference when they come and go from the  
2 courthouse.

3 So I'm going to grant the motion, and I'm going to  
4 add to the Court's order that the jury will also be  
5 semi-sequestered during the course of the trial. So that  
6 takes care of that.

7 Is there any objection? I didn't ask if there was  
8 an objection.

9 MR. GERAGOS: No objection.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. BUCKLEY: No objection, Your Honor.

12 MS. HARRIS: No objection.

13 MR. AGNIFILO: No objection.

14 MS. PENZA: Your Honor, no objection right now. We  
15 do want to -- we will --

16 THE COURT: Oh, I don't care what you think.

17 MS. PENZA: I'm sure.

18 THE COURT: I really don't, because it's usually the  
19 Government that asks for a semi-sequestered jury, but in this  
20 case, the Court wants a semi-sequestered jury, so we're going  
21 to have one. So don't bother bringing it up with anybody  
22 unless you plan to go to the Second Circuit on it.

23 MS. PENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Okay. Let's take it from there. What  
25 else do we have here today?

1                   MR. AGNIFILO: So we'd like to get the Court's  
2 thoughts on a date for in limine motions. We -- the  
3 Government has started providing 3500 material. It seems to  
4 be doing it alphabetically. We have, I don't know, A through  
5 G or something like that.

6                   So, I guess it's a two-part request: One, we'd like  
7 a date when we will get the rest of the 3500 -- and I know  
8 these things are sometimes on a rolling basis. That's part of  
9 the Court's order. But the more 3500 we get, the better our  
10 in limine motions would be.

11                  THE COURT: Where are we on 3500 material? You want  
12 a deadline? I can always give you a deadline.

13                  MS. PENZA: We would prefer not a deadline, Your  
14 Honor.

15                  THE COURT: Well, I understand.

16                  MS. PENZA: We are diligently working to produce it.

17                  THE COURT: Well, we have a trial date of --

18                  MS. PENZA: April 29th.

19                  THE COURT: -- April 29th.

20                  MS. PENZA: And so we have --

21                  THE COURT: Assuming we're going forward on  
22 April 29th, I've already denied one motion for a month  
23 extension of the trial date.

24                  When will you have the 3500 material to the defense?

25                  MS. PENZA: I mean, we are continuing to roll it

1 out. We anticipate making an additional production tomorrow,  
2 and we'll continue to produce through next week, as well. And  
3 then of course, that's what's currently in our possession,  
4 Your Honor.

5 MR. AGNIFILO: So my two cents, Judge, would be if  
6 we can get -- and I know that there's continuing interviews,  
7 so there's going to be more 3500 up until the trial and  
8 probably well into the trial. That's what I expect.

9 But if we can get what they have now say by the  
10 middle of next week, we can put our in limine motions together  
11 in say four days, and maybe have something to the Court the  
12 following Monday.

13 THE COURT: How about Wednesday the 10th of April  
14 for what you have now?

15 MS. PENZA: Your Honor, there is like a technical  
16 component to it, and I'm not sure we will be able to actually  
17 get out all of it by the 10th.

18 THE COURT: How about the 12th?

19 MS. PENZA: The 12th, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: The 12th.

21 MR. AGNIFILO: And then we can have our --

22 THE COURT: How about your motions by the 17th?

23 MR. AGNIFILO: That's fine, Judge. That's very  
24 good. Thank you. Perfect.

25 THE COURT: Okay. And that works for everybody?

1 MR. GERAGOS: The 17th works for us.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That's on the in limine motions.

3 What else do we have?

4 MR. AGNIFILO: We have a technical issue that I'll  
5 let the Government raise about some discovery that they  
6 provided, that we -- that we found and then have to give back,  
7 but I'll let the Government brief that one to the Court.

8 MS. PENZA: So Your Honor, as you are aware, we had  
9 had an issue regarding one of the discovery devices. When we  
10 had initially produced the device, we did not know that there  
11 were child pornography images on the device. We retracted all  
12 of those. They -- we then -- there was --

13 THE COURT: Were there images in connection with  
14 this case on the device?

15 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

16 We then -- we then reproduced the device. We did  
17 eliminate the folder that had the child pornography; however,  
18 there were additional carved deleted files of that -- those  
19 same images, I believe the same ones, in other locations on  
20 the drive.

21 The FBI is working right now to create a new version  
22 of the drive that has removed those deleted images, which we  
23 will provide hopefully today to the defendants and receive  
24 from them back the original drives that we had. So it's the  
25 second drive that we had given them.

1                   The Government does want to raise the issue that we  
2 put in our -- in our response to the defendant's motion. In  
3 particular, there have now been two assertions by defense  
4 counsel regarding their ability to prepare on the predicate  
5 acts related to child pornography.

6                   THE COURT: Yes.

7                   MS. PENZA: And so, the Government is obviously  
8 concerned by those representations. I understand that this  
9 drive is something that they want to provide to their expert.  
10 And so given that, we -- that concern is again raised, that  
11 they may need additional time to prepare their expert for  
12 those additional charges.

13                  THE COURT: I see.

14                  MS. PENZA: And so we want to make sure that we are  
15 proceeding to trial with the defendant and his counsel saying  
16 that they are, indeed, prepared to go forward on April 29th,  
17 contrary to what they had put in two previous motions.

18                  THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from Mr.  
19 Agnifilo.

20                  MR. AGNIFILO: Yes, Judge.

21                  I don't think we ever said that we couldn't be  
22 ready. I think the phrase I used is we were going to move  
23 heaven and earth to be ready and we're still going to move  
24 heaven and earth to be ready.

25                  We have a very productive dialogue with the

1 Government around these matters. I think what the Government  
2 produced and then needed back was through mere inadvertence,  
3 and we caught it. We saw there was something on the drive  
4 that should not be in the public sphere.

5 We contacted the Government. We said, we have to  
6 give this back to you. We knew that would end up in some sort  
7 of a delay in getting the drive, which is an important piece  
8 of evidence in terms of these charges that were recently  
9 indicted.

10 And here's my request -- and I think the Government  
11 will work with us on this because they have been working with  
12 us on this -- to the extent that we can front-load whatever  
13 reports there might exist that relate to the alleged child  
14 pornography charges, that -- getting that that sooner rather  
15 than later would be very helpful to us.

16 And so we do have an expert. The expert's been down  
17 to the FBI. The Government was very responsive when I asked  
18 for dates. They accommodated our dates. Our expert went  
19 down. I expect they'll accommodate our other dates.

20 So as long as we're continuing to be as productive  
21 as we've been -- and I think that will continue -- I fully  
22 expect to be ready for those charges and all the charges on  
23 the trial date.

24 So, it's a lot of work. It's a lot of work being  
25 done at the last minute, but this is what happens in trials

1 and that's what happening here. And the Government's been  
2 accommodating and responsive, and I'm sure we can get it done.

3 MS. PENZA: Your Honor, if I may, frankly, that  
4 doesn't -- that doesn't satisfy the Government. It doesn't  
5 sound like they're in a place to say right now.

6 And in terms of front-loading the information  
7 regarding child pornography, Mr. Agnifilo knows that the  
8 alleged victim of the child pornography charges goes  
9 throughout this case. I mean, there will be almost -- there's  
10 very little evidence in this case that does not relate to that  
11 victim.

12 And so, the Government is going to abide by the  
13 Court's deadline. We're going to produce everything. But  
14 given that, the Government is concerned that we are not  
15 hearing a clear statement from Mr. Agnifilo and Mr. Raniere,  
16 frankly, that they are ready to go.

17 And given that -- we understand that there are  
18 jurors coming in. We do understand that the landscape of this  
19 case has changed dramatically, and may continue to change over  
20 the coming days.

21 If that is the case, the Government does not  
22 anticipate that our trial will last as long as we have  
23 previously said to the Court, because we don't believe there  
24 will be -- there won't be six defense attorneys  
25 cross-examining witnesses, for example.

1                   And so given that, the Government, you know, the  
2 Government feels that there has not been a representation that  
3 they will be ready on April 29th, and the Government believes  
4 that it's important to have certainty right now.

5                   THE COURT: Well, let me cut to the chase on this.  
6 You know, while the Court is reserving judgment for the moment  
7 on the pending motions to dismiss and sever, I agree.

8                   The Court -- the Court needs to know the answer to  
9 the following questions. And this is from each of the four  
10 defendants: Are you prepared for trial? Pardon me. Will you  
11 be prepared for trial on the second superseding indictment on  
12 April 29th, and if not, how much more time do you need to be  
13 fully prepared for trial?

14                  I think that's a really essential consideration for  
15 the Court. The Court wants to honor its objective of going to  
16 trial April 29th, but not -- but not if I do not hear a  
17 declaration, in effect, from each of the defendants' counsel,  
18 on behalf of the defendants, that they're going to be prepared  
19 to go to trial on April 29th.

20                  So, of course, we'll start with you, Mr. Agnifilo,  
21 because I agree with the Government, to the degree that there  
22 needs to be an affirmative statement that based on what's in  
23 the second superseding indictment, that you -- which is a  
24 recent indictment -- that you will still be ready to go to  
25 trial.

1                   MR. AGNIFILO: I'll be ready to go to trial, and I  
2 mean, and I say that because I do need help from the  
3 Government. They have been giving me that help. I'm going to  
4 need to have my expert going to the FBI. They have been  
5 accommodating of that.

6                   This little wrinkle with having to give the drive  
7 back is not perfectly timed, but we can get through that. I'm  
8 told that I'm going to get a new drive soon. Maybe today.  
9 That would be wonderful.

10                  But I'm going to make it work. I mean, I have an  
11 expert on retainer. He's working for us. He's given me an  
12 assurance that in his opinion, that he has been doing this for  
13 decades, he can get the work done that I need done by the  
14 29th. So I expect to be ready for trial.

15                  My request -- and maybe I wasn't as clear as I could  
16 have been. I know who the person is in the photographs.  
17 That's not the point. I just believe that there's going to be  
18 some sort of FBI reports in regard to that part of the FBI  
19 investigation regarding the photographs, that if we could get  
20 sooner rather than later, that would be helpful to me, but I  
21 will be ready to go, representing Mr. Raniere on the Court's  
22 trial date.

23                  THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Geragos?

24                  MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor. We will be  
25 ready to go on the 29th.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MS. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.

3 You know in our March 22nd letter to the Court, we  
4 indicated in connection with our renewal of our motion to  
5 sever, enjoining the motion to sever Ms. Bronfman, that we did  
6 advise that we were in plea negotiations with the Government,  
7 and that the Government had indicated that given the pressure  
8 of trial preparation and the fairly abbreviated schedule that  
9 we have been on, that additional time would be beneficial to  
10 conclude those negotiations.

11 And we'll say, given the Court's denial of the  
12 motions that were made by counsel for Ms. Mack for an actual  
13 adjournment of the trial date, I think substantial progress  
14 has been made.

15 So I think that the Government's in a better  
16 position to speak to, you know, that particular issue than --  
17 we have, of course, been preparing for trial, but that's the  
18 only aspect of this that bears as to Ms. Russell.

19 THE COURT: Yes?

20 MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Sean Buckley on  
21 behalf of Ms. Mack.

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. BUCKLEY: As the Court is aware, we are the ones  
24 who did file a motion for the continuance, and we recognize  
25 that Your Honor has denied it. Our motion was to permit us to

1 engage in what have been and what continue to be fruitful  
2 discussions regarding potential resolution of this.

3           But other than that, we are similarly situated to  
4 Ms. Harris and her client.

5           THE COURT: Well, as to Ms. Russel and Ms. Mack,  
6 it's the old story. You need to be able to walk and chew gum  
7 at the same time in this business.

8           And we have one defendant who's incarcerated, and so  
9 I look very carefully at that kind of request, and I also look  
10 at the difficulty of impaneling a jury, since we have already  
11 sent notices to 500 potential jurors that I'm going to be  
12 interviewing in mid-April, and if I interview them in  
13 mid-April and tell them that they're going to have to come to  
14 court and try a case in June and July, we're in a much  
15 different posture than we would be if we're telling the  
16 potential jurors that we're going to start the trial in April.  
17 So it creates a complication.

18           But I think that my sense is that all of the  
19 defendants can be ready to go to trial. The defendant with  
20 the greatest difficulty will be Mr. Raniere because there are  
21 additional charges of significance against him in the second  
22 superseding indictment, but I have Mr. Agnifilo's clear  
23 declaration that he and his client will be ready to go to  
24 trial. And I also have Mr. Geragos, who is a recent addition  
25 to Ms. Bronfman's trial team, and he's ready to go to trial.

1 So I would ask everyone to redouble their efforts to be ready.

2 Anything else on that?

3 MS. PENZA: Your Honor, I guess the only thing I  
4 would say is, we don't feel like it is crystal clear from Mr.  
5 Agnifilo. We don't. And we are concerned that we are in a  
6 position where Mr. Agnifilo wants the process to continue, and  
7 we get that. And we are very actively working to do 3500, to  
8 get all of this done, while simultaneously engaging in all the  
9 other trial preparations, while engaging in plea negotiations  
10 with other defendants. We get that. And we are doing our  
11 best.

12 But I guess what we are -- what we put in our motion  
13 is that, respectfully, if there is a two to three week  
14 adjournment where the jurors are impaneled -- the jurors come  
15 in on the day that we expect them to come in, then there is no  
16 risk at that point that at the end of all of this,  
17 Mr. Agnifilo -- Mr. Raniere says, you know what? I didn't  
18 stand up there. Mr. Agnifilo stood up there, and he said that  
19 it would be April 29th, and said he would be ready.

20 And so given that these are very serious new  
21 allegations, given that there are two representations from the  
22 defendant that they could not be ready, given that we are  
23 not -- still not hearing we will absolutely be ready on  
24 April 29th -- because I don't think Mr. Agnifilo can say that.  
25 His expert hasn't even had the disc that he thinks is

1 important for the expert to have. I don't think we are  
2 actually getting a --

3 THE COURT: When will he have the disc?

4 MS. PENZA: We are currently working. Like since  
5 he had it, we obviously -- there was a new problem brought to  
6 our attention yesterday, it is currently being worked on, Your  
7 Honor. So we are --

8 THE COURT: This week?

9 MS. PENZA: No. Right -- well, right now. And so  
10 we're hoping to --

11 THE COURT: Here's --

12 MS. PENZA: Yes. By tomorrow -- I mean, we're  
13 hoping to do it today or tomorrow.

14 THE COURT: All right. Let's take this one week at  
15 a time.

16 Next Monday, after we appear before the venir, we'll  
17 adjourn to this courtroom, and I will ask defendants' counsel  
18 at large the same question that I just asked. Let's see where  
19 we are then.

20 And if we need to adjourn for a short period -- not  
21 a month, but a short person period, it may become more  
22 apparent after Mr. Agnifilo and his colleagues have reviewed  
23 the materials that you're going to send them.

24 MS. PENZA: Fair enough, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: So --

1 MR. AGNIFILO: More than fair, Judge. Thank you.

2 THE COURT: Fair?

3 MR. AGNIFILO: Yes. Very much so.

4 THE COURT: The idea that we would put the trial off  
5 for a week or ten days, the actual opening of the trial, is  
6 not something that would create an impression that we're just  
7 pushing this case down the road. A month is a different  
8 story.

9 But I know that there are lots of moving parts here,  
10 and I'm willing to work with you, but I'm not willing to hold  
11 this case open until August. Well, meaning that -- the end of  
12 the trial in August, I don't think that that works for  
13 anybody, and I think that it works -- and the injury is the  
14 greatest for the person who's being detained.

15 So, you know, if next week, Mr. Agnifilo says we  
16 need an extra week in order to get all of our materials in  
17 order and have further meetings, and so forth, then I'll take  
18 that into account.

19 But, I don't want to convey the impression that I'm  
20 willing to just push this case, you know, into the fall or  
21 some such thing.

22 MS. PENZA: And nor does the Government want it  
23 pushed into the fall or any such thing. What the  
24 Government -- what the Government wants is to know that Mr.  
25 Raniere is going to have had full opportunity to prepare his

1 defense, so that after the trial, that's protected.

2 THE COURT: Okay. I heard you.

3 MS. PENZA: Oh, no. I'm sorry.

4 THE COURT: There's more?

5 MS. PENZA: No. I just wanted to -- I did want to  
6 raise one additional issue before we finish, but whenever you  
7 want to hear it.

8 THE COURT: We're not finished yet. Go ahead with  
9 your issue.

10 MS. PENZA: So one of the additional things that the  
11 Government wanted to raise is the issue of the privileged  
12 materials.

13 So there are still approximately 22,000 documents  
14 that appear -- that only our privilege team has access to  
15 that, that were recovered from Ms. Bronfman's account. There  
16 are a significantly few number, but I think approximately 2000  
17 documents from Mr. Raniere's account. It may be less than  
18 that -- that have not been provided -- and those documents  
19 have not been provided to any other defendant. So they have  
20 only gone -- they have only gone to Ms. Bronfman or to Mr.  
21 Raniere, respectively.

22 The Government has -- the Government is concerned  
23 regarding our disclosure obligations. We have received  
24 consent from Ms. Bronfman's counsel to provide those to the  
25 other defendants, and consent from Mr. Raniere's counsel to

1 provide those to the other defendants. So we are going to  
2 work towards a stipulation as to that.

3 But the Government does want to put a few things on  
4 the record regarding these privileged documents. So as Your  
5 Honor knows, we have not -- we have not -- the Government has  
6 not been able to review those documents.

7 In December of 2019(sic), the Government put in a  
8 motion asserting that a number of the privileges that were  
9 being raised by the defendants were improper. That motion has  
10 not been decided.

11 There were various motions in between that. One  
12 of -- there -- this is case, as we said a number of times,  
13 where some of the -- these are not the normal types of  
14 attorney/client privilege. Some are. But many of the  
15 privileges being asserted are not the normal types of  
16 attorney/client privileges that we see.

17 And so we have been in a problematic posture, the  
18 Government, because we can't go one-by-one, and now that we  
19 have had -- we have actually gotten to a place where we  
20 provided I believe about five thousand documents to counsel  
21 for Ms. Bronfman several weeks ago. Counsel for Ms. Bronfman  
22 said, I'm too busy with trial prep to review those documents.  
23 And Judge Scanlon basically understood that. She said, okay.  
24 I understand trial prep.

25 It feels like unclean hands here, Your Honor. So we

1 have Ms. Bronfman saying, "Ready for trial. Ready for trial.  
2 Ready for trial," but she hasn't had to review the documents  
3 and is just getting to assert these fantastical privileges and  
4 Government doesn't get to review the documents.

5 THE COURT: You want a decision on your motion?

6 MS. PENZA: We want a decision on our motion.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MS. PENZA: And then if I may, Your Honor. There is  
9 one very -- there is one very key component. We have asked --  
10 our privilege team has made additional motions that the trial  
11 team has not been privy to.

12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. This is before me or before  
13 Judge Scanlon?

14 MS. PENZA: Before Judge Scanlon.

15 THE COURT: Oh.

16 MS. PENZA: But there is an issue that is very ripe  
17 now. I mean, this is all very ripe. We're at trial in less  
18 than a month, and we haven't seen these documents. And the  
19 Government does have rights, too. And so that's fine. We're  
20 going to meet our disclosure obligations, but of course, we  
21 would like to review whatever documents we can prior to that  
22 date.

23 So, one of the key issues that was raised by our  
24 privilege team -- and we were not allowed -- Judge Scanlon  
25 ruled that we cannot see the motion even in redacted form.

1 That being said, one of the things we understand was asserted  
2 was that NXIVM is no longer an operating company and  
3 therefore, has no privilege to assert. And so the vast  
4 majority of these documents would be NXIVM's privilege.

5 And so in our motion, we requested -- I understand  
6 that NXIVM, says that they are still operating -- and the case  
7 law bears out that they have the burden to do so, to show that  
8 they are operating -- and to say, who is asserting privilege  
9 on behalf of NXIVM?

10 And so the Government's concern is that it's Ms.  
11 Cassidy and Ms. Bronfman who are asserting the privileges, who  
12 aren't saying that this is, you know, who aren't -- and this  
13 is a defunct company, and the Government is at a disadvantage  
14 because we're not allowed to see the documents. And so it's a  
15 real problem now.

16 And the attorneys for NXIVM is clearly in direct  
17 communication with defendants. It seems that everything that  
18 happens goes through them. And so we have a real problem with  
19 that because there are thousands -- over 20,000 documents that  
20 the Government hasn't been able to review at all.

21 THE COURT: I hear you.

22 MS. PENZA: Just one last point, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Of course.

24 MS. PENZA: There is -- there are documents related  
25 to one of the residences, and it's 8 Hale, and it's a

1 residence that people here now about, and it is a -- that is  
2 where there was a recovery of the drive that had the child  
3 pornography in it.

4 No one in this case has asserted any privacy  
5 interest over that house. There is no understanding from the  
6 Government as to how NXIVM Corporation can be asserting  
7 privilege over materials found -- over materials that would  
8 otherwise be privilege in that house.

9 We have spoken to -- we have tried to be  
10 communicating with counsel for NXIVM for this. We only  
11 received a question yesterday from counsel for NXIVM, where  
12 counsel said, well, I understand there may be privileged  
13 documents in there.

14 The Government concedes that there are documents  
15 that NXIVM would assert a privilege on those drives. The  
16 question is, was this privilege maintained? Was the  
17 confidentiality of this privilege maintained. And there has  
18 been no effort from anyone to assert that.

19 And so now, it's every category of these documents,  
20 where the Government's hands have been tied and we haven't  
21 been able to review them. So those are the categories, Your  
22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

24 MR. AGNIFILO: Can I offer just my suggestion on  
25 this? So counsel for NXIVM has been participating in the

1 proceedings before Judge Scanlon, mostly by telephone because  
2 he's not based in the city.

3 THE COURT: Where is he?

4 MR. AGNIFILO: Mike Sullivan?

5 MS. PENZA: He's in Massachusetts, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: He's in Massachusetts?

7 MS. PENZA: Yes.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. AGNIFILO: So this is something that -- I don't  
10 know how Your Honor is inclined to deal with it, and we have  
11 been talking about all these issues with Judge Scanlon at  
12 great length. No one other than the counsel for NXIVM can  
13 tell the Government or us what, if any, position they have on  
14 these NXIVM privileged documents.

15 We're all going to be in court on Monday and  
16 Tuesday, anyway. If it's such a pressing issue, we can figure  
17 out -- maybe if we get in front of Judge Scanlon or if Your  
18 Honor wants to deal with it, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Why don't you contact Judge Scanlon and  
20 ask to meet with her on Monday at some point in the afternoon  
21 regarding this issue?

22 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: And the Court will provide Judge  
24 Scanlon with a transcript of this proceeding, so she knows of  
25 the Government's concerns.

1 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right?

3 Yes, Mr. Geragos?

4 MR. GERAGOS: Do you want me to talk with the clerk  
5 about dates for agreed-upon for-cause challenges or strikes or  
6 things like that, or try to meet and confer? Is the 11th the  
7 date you want that by?

8 THE COURT: Well, the 8th and 9th, we'll have the  
9 jurors in to fill out questionnaires. I'm not sure when they  
10 get the questionnaires. (Confers with the law clerk.)

11 Traditionally, the Government has reproduced the  
12 questionnaires. Ms. Ward has been in charge of that -- in  
13 your office.

14 And we're not going to interview -- the first thing  
15 that has to happen is you can start with a first, let's say,  
16 one hundred of the questionnaires, and you may have consensual  
17 strikes on some of them. Those that you have the strikes on,  
18 they're consensual. They're out. But where both sides  
19 believe that the individual should be interviewed or one side  
20 thinks the individual should be interviewed, you know, send me  
21 a list on that within 24 hours of receiving the questionnaire.

22 MR. GERAGOS: Just as a practical matter, we'll get  
23 it in a randomized order, so that we then can do that on a  
24 rolling --

25 THE COURT: You'll get that -- each questionnaire

1 will have a number on it. So it will be in order of the --  
2 starting with number one and going forward. And so, you'll  
3 receive, let's say the first 50 and then you can review them  
4 and go over them, and then you'll see the next 50.

5 MR. GERAGOS: Got it.

6 THE COURT: And it will be on a rolling basis, but  
7 we can start the following week with interviews in court, and  
8 with follow-up questions that you provide to the Court.

9 Let's say the first day, we'll bring in 25 people or  
10 maybe 20 in the morning and 20 in the afternoon, and I'll ask  
11 follow-up questions, and if you want a sidebar because you're  
12 not satisfied with the follow-up, we can do that, too.

13 So I generally interview one person at a time. I'd  
14 rather not have more than one person sitting in the jury box,  
15 because I don't want to taint the next few with the answers  
16 that the first person will likely be answering.

17 It's a slow process, but I'd like to get it all done  
18 during the week of the 15th.

19 MR. GERAGOS: Okay. So, we'll meet and confer on  
20 whether there are consensual strikes.

21 THE COURT: Right. That's exactly right. We're  
22 work all that out with the defense counsel.

23 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor.

24 MR. AGNIFILO: Just one question. In Your Honor's  
25 experience, do you think this is the appropriate case, given

1 the issues, to give both sides more peremptories or no?

2 THE COURT: I have to think about that because I'm  
3 concerned that -- as to whether I'm going to have the 60  
4 jurors that I need from whom to select 12 jurors and six  
5 alternates.

6 MR. AGNIFILO: All right. Very good.

7 THE COURT: But if you think there's -- if you want  
8 to apply for that in writing, think about it.

9 MR. AGNIFILO: All right. I will. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: And discuss it among yourselves.

11 MR. AGNIFILO: Very good.

12 THE COURT: All right. We have another issue, don't  
13 we, regarding counsel Counts 3, 4, 5 and 11? Where are we on  
14 that? That venue is in the Northern District of New York?

15 MS. PENZA: Yes, Your Honor. The Government --

16 THE COURT: As opposed to the racketeering acts that  
17 are alleged in Counts, I guess, 1 and 2?

18 MS. PENZA: Correct, Your Honor. Yes.

19 So the Government consents to their dismissal  
20 without prejudice, and we are in communication with the  
21 Northern District about those charges being brought there.

22 THE COURT: All right. So let's do that now, then.

23 MS. PENZA: Okay.

24 THE COURT: Counts 3, 4, 5 and 11 of the Second  
25 Superseding Indictment are dismissed without prejudice on

1 motion of the Government. Okay?

2 Do we have anything else from the Government for  
3 today.

4 MS. PENZA: Just a few brief matters, Your Honor.

5 For the motion in limine date, the Government would  
6 request that on that date, the defendants be required to make  
7 any motions they intend to make under Rule 412(b), and the  
8 Government would also request that date for motions pursuant  
9 to Rule 414.

10 And we also would ask that by that date that the  
11 defendants also raise whether they intend to assert any  
12 defense of mental disease or defect or duress, or  
13 attorney/client privilege, given the issues that we have in  
14 not having received -- been able to review the privilege  
15 issues that we have in this case.

16 MR. AGNIFILO: We'll raise all those issues by then.

17 THE COURT: All right. The motion is granted.

18 Well, wait a minute. Let me hear from everybody  
19 else.

20 MR. GERAGOS: There's no objection.

21 THE COURT: Any objection?

22 MR. BUCKLEY: No objection.

23 MS. HARRIS: No objection.

24 THE COURT: All right.

25 MS. PENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Your application is granted.

2 MS. PENZA: Thank you.

3 And then finally, the Government has still received  
4 no reciprocal discovery from the defendants. We understand  
5 that they have stated publicly that they intend to put on a  
6 case. We would ask that the defendants be required to produce  
7 any reciprocal discovery currently in their possession by the  
8 12th.

9 MR. AGNIFILO: That's agreeable. That's agreeable.

10 THE COURT: Anyone else? Anyone object?

11 Mr. Geragos, you're smiling?

12 MR. GERAGOS: Well, I'm just smiling because we  
13 got -- we started to get the 3500 yesterday. And so, it seems  
14 like that's kind of a tight time frame, and obviously, if  
15 something comes up, I'll bring it to the Court's attention.

16 MS. PENZA: Reciprocal discovery is not tied to 3500  
17 production, Your Honor. We should have been receiving it all  
18 along.

19 THE COURT: All right. Yes. Your application is  
20 granted.

21 And anything else from the Government?

22 MS. PENZA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

23 MR. AGNIFILO: Nothing else from us.

24 THE COURT: Nothing else?

25 MR. GERAGOS: No, nothing else from Ms. Bronfman.

1 MR. BUCKLEY: Nothing else, Your Honor. Thank you.

2 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, just very briefly.

3 THE COURT: Sure.

4 MS. HARRIS: As the Court knows, there's a pending  
5 motion on behalf of Ms. Russell in the round of motions filed  
6 in January.

7 There were additional issues that we --

8 THE COURT: Which motion is this?

9 MS. HARRIS: The motion to dismiss based on --

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 MS. HARRIS: -- in the grand jury. Right. I know  
12 Your Honor has reserved judgment, reserved decision on that.

13 There were additional issues that we brought to the  
14 Court's attention last night based on the first round of 3500  
15 material that was produced to us, and to the extent we're  
16 here, I just offer -- I know it's a lot of balls in the air, a  
17 lot of issues on the plate for the Court as well as the  
18 parties -- to the extent there is any oral argument or  
19 questions that the Court has about that motion, we're  
20 available.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you very  
22 much. All right. Thank you, everybody.

23 Oh, and we will meet immediately after we meet with  
24 the venire on Monday, so make a list of anything that you have  
25 because we're getting closer to trial, and I would like to

1 resolve everything that's outstanding in the next few days,  
2 particularly the motions such as the motion to dismiss on the  
3 part of Ms. Russell. We're going to get to it. Thank you  
4 very much.

5 MS. PENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 MR. AGNIFILO: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 (Proceedings concluded.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25